wanting this bill advanced and leave the present situation because they are helped by the present form of LB 343. So it seems to me the logical thing to do at this point is advance the bill, and if there are more conversations on it, that is good and I hope there will be but it seems to me that we should advance the bill at this point.

SENATOR KILGARIN: Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak to the bill?

SENATOR HABERMAN: A question of Senator DeCamp please.

SENATOR KILGARIN: Senator DeCamp, will you yield?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I guess.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator DeCamp, this new central filing system that we are going to have, is it going to replace or have anything to do with the one that is presently in position in the Secretary of State's office?

SENATOR Decamp: It is not going to deal with that particular thing. I suspect that system will have to be sophisticated and will be brought into ours quite frankly.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Is the people who are going to pay for this, also...who is going to pay for the terminals and/or the machines and/or whatever that has to be put into the county clerk's office or are there going to have to be any put into the county clerk's office?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Some have them already, computer terminals in their court houses, particularly larger counties. Some do not. Computer terminals I understand right now cost about \$1,000 or some such amount. The theory is you are going to make this completely self-sustaining system using user fees, and if some upfront money is required, the theory is that you would have an A bill and the A bill appropriation that came out of any state coffers, fifty or a hundred thousand, whatever it was, would be paid back. So it is basically user fees and in return the user gets a far better system than they have now, far better, everybody does.

SENATOR HABERMAN: So I guess what you are telling us in answer to the letters we are getting from the county clerks, for example, here is a letter, it cost \$30,000 from Kimball, it cost \$4200 from Geneva, what you are telling us in essence is if the counties that do not have present systems or if they have to upgrade their systems that the industry is going to pay for this?